

AHPPI Attendee Feedback Questionnaire 2013



1. Please indicate which level of agreement or disagreement is most applicable:

	Strongly agree	Agree	Neither agree nor disagree	Disagree	Strongly Disagree	Rating Count
I found the meeting useful	74.1% (20)	25.9% (7)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	27
The meeting topic was relevant	63.0% (17)	37.0% (10)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	27
I found the content easy to understand	51.9% (14)	48.1% (13)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	27
The presenters delivered interesting and informative material	57.7% (15)	42.3% (11)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	26
The meeting provided information on translational PD studies in early stage CNS drug development	59.3% (16)	37.0% (10)	3.7% (1)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	27
The meeting was of the right length	44.4% (12)	51.9% (14)	3.7% (1)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	27
The venue was appropriate for this meeting	53.8% (14)	42.3% (11)	3.8% (1)	0.0% (0)	0.0% (0)	26
answered question						27
skipped question						0

2. What were the strengths of the meeting? What did you find most useful or enjoyable and why?

	Response Count
	22
answered question	22
skipped question	5

3. Do you have any suggestions for the next AHPPI meeting or are there any other issues you would like to be covered in further briefings?

	Response Count
	16
answered question	16
skipped question	11

4. Are there any other comments you wish to make?

	Response Count
	18
answered question	18
skipped question	9

Q2. What were the strengths of the meeting? What did you find most useful or enjoyable and why?

1	The panel discussion and audience participation. This is always a strong point. Both the audience and the panel of speakers get a lot from this	Nov 7, 2013 10:37 AM
2	The obvious enthusiasm of the presenters for their subjects. The level at which the presentations were pitched was exactly right for me, being a newcomer to the CNS area. Being a newcomer, the historical information was also useful.	Nov 5, 2013 8:51 AM
3	Very interesting. Nice variation in the topics. Easy to reach venue.	Nov 4, 2013 9:36 AM
4	The experience of the speakers was the main strength.	Nov 1, 2013 11:48 AM
5	I found Prof Steve Williams and Dr John Collins talk particularly interesting.	Nov 1, 2013 10:45 AM
6	Diverse programme content and good variety in delivery style of speakers.	Nov 1, 2013 10:13 AM
7	Excellent speakers. Very interesting topic.	Oct 28, 2013 5:23 PM
8	The quality and experience of the speakers Most useful was the presentation on Imaging by Steve Williams	Oct 28, 2013 11:06 AM
9	The quality and practical experience of the speakers was impressive and it was an unique experience to pull them together into a single meeting like this.	Oct 28, 2013 8:22 AM
10	The group discussion at the end of the meeting was very enjoyable because it was very practice.	Oct 27, 2013 10:21 PM
11	Quite a lot was new information for me	Oct 26, 2013 8:50 AM
12	Speakers expert in their fields and presented well	Oct 25, 2013 4:53 PM
13	Stimulating & at times controversial but that makes the meeting very enjoyable & interesting	Oct 25, 2013 12:36 PM
14	Strong focus on translational research. Great speakers and well chaired to time.	Oct 25, 2013 12:11 PM
15	interesting topics and good speakers. Nice discussion at the end.	Oct 25, 2013 11:27 AM
16	shortness of the presentations.	Oct 25, 2013 11:26 AM
17	Excellent speaker panel, diverse but linked topics covering all aspects of translational research and encouraging some healthy	Oct 25, 2013 11:23 AM

Q2. What were the strengths of the meeting? What did you find most useful or enjoyable and why?

	debate	
18	The expertise and knowledge of the presenters. Being informed of new technology and possible new process for early CNS drug development	Oct 25, 2013 11:23 AM
19	Interactive meeting and lively debate generated on a key area for the pharmaceutical industry. Good central venue and afternoon slot allowed attending without incurring peak train costs or overnight stay.	Oct 25, 2013 11:11 AM
20	High level of expertise of the guest speakers	Oct 25, 2013 11:10 AM
21	Diversity of presentations, presenting various aspects of development of assessing psychopharmacological treatments	Oct 25, 2013 11:07 AM
22	The drawing together of ideas and conclusive overview of the last presentation was a great ending to the meeting.	Oct 25, 2013 11:07 AM

Q3. Do you have any suggestions for the next AHPPI meeting or are there any other issues you would like to be covered in further briefings?

1	It may be either twice a year or a whole day.	Nov 4, 2013 9:36 AM
2	N/A - lunch maybe?	Nov 1, 2013 11:48 AM
3	AGM, or members section.	Oct 28, 2013 5:23 PM
4	Regulatory lanscape for Early Phase non-therapeutic studies ion both healthy and patient volunteers	Oct 28, 2013 11:06 AM
5	Not at the moment. I'll think about it and make suggestions later.	Oct 28, 2013 8:22 AM
6	Topic: how to handle GMP in early drug development?	Oct 27, 2013 10:21 PM
7	I still believe that the inclusion of PATIENTS in Phase 1 could be discussed in more depth	Oct 26, 2013 8:50 AM
8	There was discussion yesterday about going rapidly into patients from healthy volunteers. How about some presentations on examples in different therapeutic areas where this has worked / encountered difficulties e.g. antipsychotics, cancers, hypertension. Suggestion from John Posner	Oct 25, 2013 4:53 PM
9	Should consider cardiological/QTc assessment in early phase drug development	Oct 25, 2013 12:36 PM
10	Models of Experimental Medicine	Oct 25, 2013 12:11 PM
11	no	Oct 25, 2013 11:26 AM
12	Impact of placebo response in clinical trials and how to minimise this.	Oct 25, 2013 11:23 AM
13	Pharmacogenomic testings	Oct 25, 2013 11:23 AM
14	I would be interesting in continuing the debate on the ethics of health volunteer v patient for FIM studies.	Oct 25, 2013 11:11 AM
15	It would be good to have a similar meeting looking at translational research in another therapeutic area. Also, a meeting dedicated to early development of biopharmaceutical products would be useful.	Oct 25, 2013 11:10 AM
16	Clinical trials and recruitment. Keith Wesnes had some good suggestions	Oct 25, 2013 11:07 AM

Q4. Are there any other comments you wish to make?

1	A great meeting. Great speakers who are well informed and provided thought provoking ideas and challenged the 'norm'. The venue was the right size for the number of participants	Nov 7, 2013 10:37 AM
2	very good	Nov 5, 2013 2:55 PM
3	N/A	Nov 1, 2013 11:48 AM
4	The venue was great and the timetable was well thought out, making the most efficient use of peoples time.	Nov 1, 2013 10:45 AM
5	The meeting was poorly attended considering the quality of speakers, location and cost (practically free).	Oct 28, 2013 5:23 PM
6	Well done to organisers!	Oct 28, 2013 11:06 AM
7	No.	Oct 28, 2013 8:22 AM
8	I enjoyed the meeting very much; the spreakers were of high quality and kept well within the time limits.	Oct 27, 2013 10:21 PM
9	Members have suggested that the subsequent meetings should be a little longer	Oct 26, 2013 8:50 AM
10	I think all day meetings starting at say 10.00 might attract more people, particularly from outside London.	Oct 25, 2013 4:53 PM
11	Hope you could keep the meeting at least once a year better if twice per year	Oct 25, 2013 12:36 PM
12	Keep it up!	Oct 25, 2013 12:11 PM
13	no	Oct 25, 2013 11:26 AM
14	Very enjoyable, well organised meeting	Oct 25, 2013 11:23 AM
15	The topic covered was a very interesting topic and maybe could have benefited for a longer meeting.	Oct 25, 2013 11:23 AM
16	Thouroughly enjoyed this meeting!	Oct 25, 2013 11:11 AM
17	Well done to the committee!	Oct 25, 2013 11:10 AM
18	None	Oct 25, 2013 11:07 AM